Two snakebite antivenoms have potential to reduce Eswatini's dependency upon a single, increasingly unavailable product: Results of preclinical efficacy testing

Stefanie K. Menzies[1,2]*, **Thea Litschka-Koen**[3,4], **Rebecca J. Edge**[1], **Jaffer Alsolaiss**[1], **Edouard Crittenden**[1], **Steven R. Hall**[1], **Adam Westhorpe**[1], **Brent Thomas**[1], **James Murray**[3,4], **Nondusimo Shongwe**[3,4], **Sara Padidar**[3,4,5], **David G. Lalloo**[6], **Nicholas R. Casewell**[1,2], **Jonathan Pons**[3,4], **Robert A. Harrison**[1,2]

1 Centre for Snakebite Research and Interventions, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 2 Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 3 Eswatini Snakebite Research and Intervention Centre, Simunye, Eswatini, 4 Eswatini Antivenom Foundation, Simunye, Eswatini, 5 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Eswatini, Kwaluseni, Eswatini, 6 Department of Tropical Disease Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

* Stefanie.Menzies@lstmed.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

Snakebite is a major public health concern in Eswatini, where treatment relies upon one antivenom—SAIMR Polyvalent. Although effective in treating snakebite, SAIMR Polyvalent is difficult to source outside its manufacturing country (South Africa) and is dauntingly expensive. We compared the preclinical venom-neutralising efficacy of two alternative antivenoms with that of SAIMR Polyvalent against the lethal and tissue-destructive effects of venoms from five species of medically important snakes using *in vivo* murine assays. The test antivenoms were 'Panafrican' manufactured by Instituto Clodomiro Picado and 'PANAF' manufactured by Premium Serums & Vaccines.

Principal findings

In vivo murine preclinical studies identified both test antivenoms were equally or more effective than SAIMR Polyvalent at neutralising lethal and tissue-destructive effects of *Naja mossambica* venom. Both test antivenoms were less effective than SAIMR Polyvalent at neutralising the lethal effects of *Bitis arietans*, *Dendroaspis polylepis*, *Hemachatus haemachatus* and *Naja annulifera* venoms, but similarly effective at neutralising tissue damage induced by *B. arietans* and *H. haemachatus* venoms.

In vitro immunological assays identified that the titres and toxin-specificities of immunoglobulins (iGs) in the test antivenoms were comparable to that of SAIMR Polyvalent. Plasma clotting disturbances by *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* were neutralised by the test antivenoms, whereas SAIMR Polyvalent failed to neutralise this bioactivity of *N. mossambica*

venom. *B. arietans* SVMP activity was equally reduced by all three antivenoms, and *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* PLA$_2$ activities were neutralised by all three antivenoms.

Conclusions

While both Panafrican and PANAF antivenoms exhibited promising preclinical efficacies, both were less poly-specifically effective than SAIMR Polyvalent in these murine assays. The efficacy of these antivenoms against the lethal and tissue-destructive effects of *N. mossambica* venom, the most common biting species in Eswatini, identify that Panafrican and PANAF antivenoms offer effective alternatives to SAIMR Polyvalent for the treatment of snakebite in Eswatini, and potentially for neighbouring countries.

Author summary

Treatment of snakebite in Eswatini is reliant upon a single antivenom (SAIMR Polyvalent) manufactured in South Africa. This highly effective product is increasingly difficult to source and is expensive—alternative/additional antivenoms are urgently required to improve patient outcomes following snake envenoming. Using murine preclinical venom toxicity and antivenom efficacy assays, we identified two alternative antivenoms whose venom-neutralising characteristics, while less poly-specifically effective than SAIMR Polyvalent, were as effective against the lethal and tissue-destructive effects of the most common biting snake in Eswatini—*Naja mossambica*. These murine data, already shared and discussed with the Eswatini Ministry of Health and the World Health Organisation, supports and justifies human testing of these two antivenoms in Eswatini because increasing the availability of effective and affordable treatments could resolve the current medical dependency of Eswatini snakebite patients upon a single, rarely available and expensive product.

1. Introduction

An estimated 138,000 people die annually as a consequence of snakebite, of which 32,000 deaths are estimated to occur in sub-Saharan Africa (sSA) [1]. Antivenom is the first choice treatment of snake envenoming and comprises immunoglobulins (Igs) purified from horses or sheep hyperimmunised with snake venoms. The SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom is manufactured in South Africa by South African Vaccine Producers (SAVP) from Igs of horses hyperimmunised with venoms from the ten most medically important snake species found in South Africa and neighbouring countries (see Table 1). Potet and colleagues [2] describe SAIMR Polyvalent as "one of the most clinically-trusted antivenoms in sub-Saharan Africa" but also identify that this trust is not matched by clinical trial evidence—because no formal clinical trial has been conducted with this antivenom. Results of murine preclinical antivenom efficacy studies identify this as a very effective antivenom at neutralising the lethal effects of many African snake venoms in mice [3,4]. Perhaps because SAIMR Polyvalent is such a highly regarded antivenom [2], South Africa and other southern African countries, including Eswatini, are entirely reliant upon this single product.

Dependency on a single manufacturer risks harm to patients, especially when issues threaten availability or supply chains, as exemplified by the crisis that ensued following the cessation of FAV-Afrique and other African antivenom products [2,5]. Such vulnerabilities and

Table 1. Details of the antivenom products examined in this study.

Antivenom tested	Manufacturer	Batch/Lot & Expiry date	Preparation of antivenom	Venoms used for immunisation
SAIMR Polyvalent	South African Vaccine Producers (SAVP) PTY, South Africa	- BL01646 - May 2023	- Equine - Liquid - F(ab')₂ fragment of immunoglobulins - 113.7 mg/mL	**Vipers:** *Bitis arietans, B. gabonica* **Cobras & Rinkhals:** *Naja nivea, N. mossambica, N. melanoleuca, N. annulifera, Hemachatus haemachatus* **Mambas:** *Dendroaspis polylepis, D. jamesoni, D. angusticeps* (sourced from snakes held by African Reptiles & Venom Company, South Africa)
Panafrican Antivenom	Instituto Clodomiro Picado (ICP), Costa Rica	- 5851216DEPA-C - Dec 2021	- Equine - Lyophilised powder - Intact immunoglobulins - 109.0 mg/mL	**Vipers:** *Echis ocellatus, B. arietans* (Nigeria; LSTM) **Cobras & Rinkhals:** *Naja nigricollis* (Nigeria; LSTM), *N. mossambica, N. annulifera, H. haemachatus* (Eswatini; African Reptiles & Venom Company, South Africa). **Mambas:** *D. polylepis* (Eswatini; African Reptiles & Venom Company, South Africa).
PANAF	Premium Serums & Vaccines (PS&V) PVT.Ltd, India	- PANAF-002 - Dec 2021	- Equine - Lyophilised powder - F(ab')₂ fragment of immunoglobulins - 51.9 mg/mL	**Vipers:** *B. arietans, B. gabonica, B. nasicornis, B. rhinoceros, E. leucogaster, E. ocellatus, E. carinatus* **Cobras:** *N. haje, N. melanoleuca, N. nigricollis* **Mambas:** *D. polylepis, D. viridis, D. jamesoni, D. angusticeps* (Undisclosed source of venoms)
The following snake venoms were used in the manufacture of all three antivenoms, but it should be noted that the precise geographical origin of the snakes are not disclosed by all the manufacturers:				**Vipers:** *B. arietans* **Cobras & Rinkhals:** no species common to all antivenoms (but venom protein composition of the spitting cobras (*N. mossambica* and *N. nigricollis*) are very similar **Mambas:** *D. polylepis*

supply insecurities can lead to the dangerous use of inappropriate antivenoms which are not manufactured for, or effective against, envenoming by snakes in the region of interest [6]. This can lead to low confidence in antivenoms, reduce market demands, drop in production and consequent increase in prices: diverse health, economic and commercial manufacturing factors that further perpetuate the cycle of antivenom inaccessibility [7]. In Eswatini, the most medically-important snake species are similar to those found in South Africa. The supply of SAIMR Polyvalent to health facilities that need it the most has proved sufficiently challenging to warrant the establishment of the Eswatini Antivenom Foundation (EAF) [8]—a charity whose primary purpose is the purchase, storage and delivery of SAIMR Polyvalent to hospitals and health centres, and provision of clinical-use guidelines and training [8,9]. Like other countries/agencies that have historically imported this valuable clinical product, the supply of SAIMR Polyvalent to Eswatini has become increasingly and disturbingly intermittent. Recently, EAF has been unable to secure a supply of this antivenom for several months. Consequently, there is a clear need in Eswatini and other southern African countries to identify alternate antivenoms that possess the requisite clinical efficacy.

Clinical trials for new antivenoms are critical to determine their optimal initial dose, effectiveness and safety, but such trials pose significant challenges including very high cost, complex logistics, difficulty in confirming the species of snake, and heterogeneity in clinical presentations even amongst patients bitten by the same species of snake. These funding and other challenges limit efforts by the research community to conduct these urgently needed trials.

In the absence of (i) clinical trial evidence and (ii) agreed protocols and difficulties in interpreting less expensive clinical observation studies, we are therefore reliant upon results of preclinical murine assays measuring the comparable (i) toxicity of venoms and (ii) efficacy of antivenoms. We have previously conducted these antivenom-efficacy assays to address snakebite-treatment vulnerabilities in Nigeria [10,11] and Kenya [3]. To assist the research and medical community, we recently compiled all relevant published preclinical data on African snake

venoms and antivenoms deployed in sSA [4]. This publication was designed to link preclinical data to an important review of antivenom clinical trials performed in sSA [2], which identified that there are numerous antivenoms currently used in sSA for which no publicly available data on preclinical or clinical efficacy exists [2,4]. To address this issue, the World Health Organization (WHO) have instituted an independent risk-benefit assessment of antivenom products suitable for use in sSA to underpin a subsequent prequalification scheme to support the procurement of suitable antivenom products [12]. Antivenom and snakebite stakeholders are awaiting the results of this assessment, which includes data on the efficacy, stability, purity, and production volumes of the products.

In the meantime, the EAF sought partners to prepare Eswatini for antivenom clinical trials necessary to identify suitable antivenom products for use in the country. With grant funding from Wellcome, EAF joined the African Snakebite Research Group (ASRG) [13] in 2019 and hosted the Eswatini Snakebite Research & Interventions Centre (E-SRIC), enabling E-SRIC to engage with and benefit from similar research and intervention objectives, challenges and successes of other SRICs in Kenya [14] and Nigeria [15]. Greatly concerned by insecure and inadequate volumes of antivenom supply, one of ASRG's and E-SRIC's priority research objectives was to determine whether there are existing, suitably efficacious antivenoms to reduce Eswatini's clinical dependency upon SAIMR Polyvalent.

Here, we preclinically examined the neutralising efficacy of SAIMR Polyvalent and two 'test' antivenoms against the lethal and tissue-destructive effects of venoms from five medically important Eswatini snake species (*Bitis arietans* puff adders, *Dendroaspis polylepis* black mambas, *Hemachatus haemachatus* rinkhals snakes, *Naja annulifera* snouted cobra and *Naja mossambica* spitting cobra) in murine models of snake envenoming. This preclinical study aimed to use the minimal number of medically-relevant murine assays to assess the preclinical venom-neutralising efficacy of antivenoms against the most common syndromes of envenoming presented by Eswatini snakebite victims. Local painful, progressive swelling leading sometimes to tissue necrosis is the most common envenoming syndrome[8]. This syndrome was represented in our murine assays by the venom Minimum Necrotic Dose (MND) and effective antivenom dose (eMND) assays. We used the preclinical venom Lethal Dose (LD_{50}) and effective antivenom dose (ED_{50}) to represent the less common bleeding and neurotoxic syndromes presented by snakebite patients. The test antivenoms (see Table 1) chosen for this study were (i) pre-commercialisation stocks of the new 'Panafrican' product (manufactured by the Instituto Clodomiro Picado [ICP], Costa Rica and designed to add efficacy against neurotoxic envenoming to the original tri-valent EchiTAbPLUS-ICP antivenom product) and (ii) PANAF (manufactured by Premium Serums and Vaccines [PS&V] PTY, India)—an antivenom that showed impressive polyspecific neutralising ability in our previous preclinical study of venoms of medically important East African snakes [3].

We hope that the results presented here will help guide the choice of antivenom products suitable for follow-on clinical trials, and subject to satisfactory clinical performance, may provide additional treatment options and improve antivenom supply security for snakebite patients in Eswatini.

2. Methods

2.1 Ethics statement

All animal experiments were performed using protocols approved by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Boards of the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and the University of Liverpool, in accordance with the UK Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. All protocols were conducted with approval from the UK Home Office under the conditions of Project

Licence P24100D38 for LD_{50} and ED_{50} experiments, and Project Licence P58464F90 for MND and eMND experiments.

2.2 Venoms and Antivenoms

Venoms were extracted from *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis*, *H. haemachatus*, *N. annulifera* and *N. mossambica* snakes maintained in the E-SRIC facility and which were, with government permission (the Big Game Parks authority) wild-caught in Eswatini. Venoms were frozen, lyophilised, stored and transported to Liverpool at 4˚C. Venoms were reconstituted in PBS (pH 7.4, Gibco) and stored at -80˚C just prior to use. The same batches of venoms were used throughout the study to ensure experimental consistency.

The antivenom products examined in this study are listed in Table 1. SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom (manufactured by South African Vaccine Producers PTY [SAVP], South Africa) was acquired from a pharmacy in Eswatini. Panafrican antivenom (ICP) and PANAF antivenom (PS&V) were kindly donated by the manufacturers. All three antivenoms consist of equine antibodies. Panafrican (ICP) antivenom was manufactured as intact Ig, whereas SAIMR Polyvalent and PANAF (PS&V) were manufactured as F(ab')$_2$ fragments of Ig. SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom was used in the liquid format, as supplied at 10 mL per vial. The lyophilised Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms were respectively reconstituted in 10 mL 0.9% saline (Severn Biotech) and 10 mL sterile medical-grade water (supplied by the manufacturer). Antivenom protein concentrations listed in Table 1 were determined by measuring the A280 nm using a NanoDrop ONE (ThermoScientific), using two dilutions of antivenom and read in duplicate. To ensure instrument accuracy, equine IgG standards were run in parallel on the NanoDrop, and a commercial BCA assay (Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo-Fisher Scientific) was used to corroborate the NanoDrop results.

2.3 Animal maintenance

Male CD1 mice weighing 18–20 g were obtained from Charles River (UK) and acclimatised for at least one week prior to experimentation. Mice were randomly allocated into cages of five upon arrival, and these cage allocations formed subsequent treatment groups—no further randomisation was applied. Mice were housed in specific-pathogen free facilities in Techniplast GM500 cages with nesting material (Sizzlenest zigzag fibres, RAJA), Lignocell bedding (JRS, Germany) and environmental enrichment materials. Mice were housed in room conditions of 22˚C temperature with 40–50% humidity and 12/12 hour light cycles. Mice had *ad lib* access to CRM irradiated food (Special Diet Services, UK) and reverse osmosis water in an automatic water system.

2.4 Venom toxicity profiling (LD_{50} and MND)

The amount of venom that results in 50% lethality (LD_{50}) was determined using WHO-recommended protocols [16]. Doses of venom were prepared with PBS (Gibco) in a total volume of 100 μL and stored on ice until intravenous administration via the tail vein using a 25 gauge needle. We used the WHO-recommended [16] five mice per treatment group for all injections of venoms or antivenom/venom mixtures. Mice were continuously monitored over the six-hour experiment for humane endpoints (seizure, external haemorrhage, loss of righting reflex, loss of grasping reflex, hind limb paralysis and hypothermia) at which point animals were immediately euthanised using rising concentrations of carbon dioxide or cervical dislocation. Observations were performed by unblinded, mixed gender experimenters. Data were collected on time of euthanasia and the number of mice in each dose group that died or survived the experiment. The LD_{50} and 95% confidence intervals were determined using Probit analysis.

The minimum dose of venom required to induce a lesion of 5 mm diameter (MND) was determined using doses of venom prepared in PBS to a total volume of 50 μL and stored on ice until intradermal injection. Prior to injection animals were briefly anaesthetised with 5% isoflurane to facilitate shaving at the dose site for monitoring of lesion development. Animals were monitored three times per day for 72 hours to observe general health and to monitor lesion size. After 72 hours the animals were euthanised by rising concentrations of carbon dioxide and lesions were excised from skin and measured using callipers.

2.5 Antivenom efficacy profiling (ED_{50} and eMND)

Antivenoms were compared for venom neutralising efficacy using ED_{50} and eMND assays. A refined version of the WHO recommended antivenom ED_{50} was used [3,17–20], in which 3 x or 5 x the LD_{50} dose was pre-incubated at 37˚C for 30 minutes with varying amounts of each antivenom, prepared in a total volume of 200 μL with PBS and the venom/antivenom mixtures injected intravenously. In line with WHO guidelines, our target venom challenge dose was 5 x LD_{50}s but when this proved 100% lethal when mixed with the highest possible volume of antivenom, we reduced the venom challenge dose to 3 x LD_{50}s. Due to an error, 5.3 x LD_{50} dose was used for *D. polylepis* venom (see S1 File for details). The animals were monitored and data collected and analysed as described above for the LD_{50} assays.

For eMND assays, the dose (volume) of SAIMR Polyvalent that reduced lesion size to less than 5 mm diameter was first determined, in which the venom and antivenom (in a volume of 50 μL) were preincubated for 30 minutes at 37˚C. Venom/antivenom mixtures were injected intradermally and animals were monitored as described above for the MND experiments. The effective volume of SAIMR Polyvalent was determined and the same volume of the two test antivenoms was used to compare reduction in lesion size. Data was collected and analysed as above for the MND experiments.

These assays require the use of large numbers of experimental mice that experience pain, harm and duress. We have developed experimental protocols and steps to minimise the numbers of mice used in these assays and these are described in full (S1 File).

The following series of *in vitro* assays were conducted in an effort to explain the differential efficacies of the three antivenoms to neutralise the lethal and tissue-damaging effects of the Eswatini snake venoms.

2.6 Titration ELISA assays to compare the anti-venom immunoglobulin (Ig) titres of each antivenom

Venom was diluted in 100 mM carbonate-bicarbonate coating buffer pH 9.6 and coated at 100 ng per well in 96-well ELISA plates (Nunc MaxiSorp, ThermoScientific) and incubated overnight at 4˚C. The following day plates were washed three times with TBS with 1% Tween20 (TBS-T), blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBS-T and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. Plates were washed again three times with TBS-T followed by the addition of primary antibodies (neat antivenom and normal horse IgG [BioRad] as negative control) in duplicate, at an initial dilution of 1 in 100 in blocking buffer, which were five-fold serially diluted across the plate and incubated at 4˚C overnight. The following day plates were washed three times with TBS-T and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with secondary antibody horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-horse IgG (Sigma A6917), diluted to 1 in 1,000 in PBS. Plates were washed three times with TBS-T followed by the addition of substrate (3% 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid), Sigma) in citrate buffer pH 4.0 containing 0.1% hydrogen peroxide. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes to

develop, and the signal was measured spectrophotometrically at 405 nm on an LT-4500 plate reader (Labtech).

Relative avidity ELISAs were performed as described for the end-point ELISA assays, with some differences; primary antibodies were added at a single dilution (1 in 10,000), and after washing the primary antibody with TBS-T, the chaotropic agent ammonium thiocyanate was added at a range of concentrations (0–8 M) and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. The plates were washed with TBS-T and then continued to follow the same steps as described for the end-point ELISA. The reduction percentages were then calculated; data are shown in S1 Fig.

2.7 SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting to compare the venom protein-binding specificities of each antivenom

For SDS-PAGE, 5 μg venom in PBS was incubated at 100˚C for 5 minutes in equal volume 2 X denaturing protein loading buffer (62.5 mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 25% v/v glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.75% bromophenol blue) with 30% beta-mercaptoethanol, then loaded into a 4–20% Mini-Protean TGX gel (BioRad). After electrophoresis at 200V the gels were stained with Coomassie blue (50% methanol, 40% water, 10% glacial acetic acid and 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue) overnight at room temperature. Gels were de-stained 2 hours at room temperature in 50% methanol, 40% deionized water, 10% glacial acetic acid and imaged on a GelDoc (Bio-Rad).

For immunoblotting, SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis of venoms was performed as described above but using hand-cast 15% SDS-PAGE gels. Following electrophoresis, proteins in the gels were transferred to 0.2 μm nitrocellulose membranes using the TransBlot TURBO system (BioRad). Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-T on an orbital shaker overnight at 4˚C. The following day immunoblots were washed three times in TBS-T for 5 minutes per wash, then incubated with neat antivenom or normal horse IgG (BioRad) diluted 1:5,000 in blocking solution for 2 hours at room temperature. Immunoblots were washed in triplicate with TBS-T as described above, then incubated for two hours at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-horse IgG (Sigma A6917) diluted 1:1800 in PBS. Immunoblots were washed again with TBS-T and developed by the addition of DAB substrate (0.05% w/v DAB with 0.024% hydrogen peroxide) by placing the membrane into the substrate for 40 seconds, before washing with deionised water and immediately photographed.

Semi-quantitative fluorescent immunoblotting was used to compare the binding of antivenoms to venoms. To allow for comparisons using normalisation to total protein content, the appropriate amount of venom to load per lane was determined using serial dilutions to identify amounts of venom that fall within the linear range, and 5 μg was determined to be within the linear range for all 5 venoms. Subsequently, 5 μg venom in 2 X denaturing protein loading buffer was incubated at 100˚C for 5 minutes, then loaded into an Any kDa Mini-Protean TGX gel (BioRad). After electrophoresis the gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the TransBlot TURBO system (BioRad). Membranes were stained and washed with Revert Total Protein Stain 700 (LI-COR Biosciences) as per manufacturers recommendations, then imaged for 2 minutes in the 700 nm channel on the Licor Odyssey Fc (LI-COR Biosciences). Signal values for each lane were exported and normalised for each venom. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-0.1% Tween20 on an orbital shaker for 2 hours at room temperature, then incubated with 1 in 5,000 dilution of antivenom or 1 in 50 dilution of normal horse IgG (diluted in blocking solution) overnight at 4˚C. The following day membranes were washed in TBS-0.1% Tween20 for 3 x 5-minute washes, then probed with rabbit anti-horse IgG (H&L) DyLight 800 (Rockland Immunochemicals) diluted at 1 in 15,000 in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-0.1% Tween20, and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours.

Membranes were washed as above and finally with 1 x 5-minute wash in TBS prior to scanning on the Odyssey Fc in the 700 nm and 800 nm channels for 2 minutes each. The 800 nm signal for each lane was exported and normalised to total protein content using the normalisation values obtained from the 700 nm signal as described above. All images were obtained using Image Studio software (Version 5.2, LI-COR Biosciences).

2.8 Bovine plasma clotting assay

Two-fold serial dilutions of each antivenom were made in PBS in a volume of 10 μL per well in a 384-well plate. All conditions were tested in triplicate repeats within the plate. Venom (1 mg/mL) was added at 0.5 μL per well into the respective wells, giving a final venom concentration of 500 ng/well. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes then cooled to room temperature. Following incubation, a Multidrop Combi reagent dispenser (ThermoFisher) was used to add 20 μL of 20 mM $CaCl_2$ to each well, followed immediately by 20 μL of citrated bovine plasma (BioWest, VWR). Plates were immediately read on a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech) at 595 nm absorbance for 113 minutes. The assay was performed independently in technical triplicate and outliers within one run were manually identified and excluded from analyses. The area under the curve (AUC) for each venom was calculated for each replicate and a one-way ANOVA of AUC was performed to identify significant differences from the PBS control indicative of abnormal clotting, using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software). Neutralisation of venom-induced alterations to plasma clotting were determined by comparing the AUC of the test conditions (venom and antivenom) with that of the PBS 'normal clotting' control, and a non-significant difference in the AUC indicated restoration of clotting to normal values. Differences in the AUC between SAIMR Polyvalent and the two test antivenoms, at equivalent volume of antivenom, were identified using a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons using Prism 9.

2.9 Snake venom metalloproteinase (SVMP) assay

SVMP activity was measured using the previously described fluorogenic peptide assay [18]. Briefly, 1 μg of venom (in a 1 μL volume) or equal volume of PBS was added to each well in a clear, polystyrene 384-well plate (Greiner Bio-One), followed by 10 μL of antivenom (at dilutions of 1 in 4, 1 in 8 and 1 in 16) or equal volume of PBS. Venom only, antivenom only and PBS only controls were included. The SVMP substrate Mca-KPLGL-Dpa-AR-NH2 fluorogenic peptide (ES010, BioTechne) was suspended in reaction buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) to 6.2 mM stock. The assay plate was incubated at 37°C for 25 minutes and then cooled to room temperature for 5 minutes before the addition of 90 μL SVMP substrate to each well (7 μM final well concentration). The plate was immediately read at excitation 320–10 nm and emission 420–10 nm with automatic gain for 103 minutes on a CLARIOstar plate reader (BMG Labtech). All conditions were performed in quadruplicate within the plate. The RFUs at 60 minutes were collected and analysed. SVMP activity was calculated for each venom, in which 'no antivenom' wells represented 100% activity and the change in SVMP activity in the presence of the test antivenoms was calculated as a percentage of the 'no antivenom' wells. Differences in the RFU between SAIMR Polyvalent and the two test antivenoms, at equivalent volumes of antivenom, were identified using a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons using Prism 9.

2.10 Phospholipase A_2 (PLA_2) activity assay

PLA_2 activity was measured using an EnzCheck Phospholipase A2 assay kit (E10217, ThermoFisher Scientific), as previously described [21]. Bee venom standards were run alongside according to manufacturer's instructions to determine specific PLA_2 activity. Prior to analyses

of the inhibitory activity of antivenoms, dilution curves of venoms only and antivenoms only were performed to (i) identify the concentrations of venom for each snake species that fall within the linear range of the activity curve, and (ii) identify whether the addition of antivenom causes assay interference. For data analysis, buffer only well values were subtracted from all other values. From these results (shown in S2 Fig), the optimal venom amounts were determined as 2 μg for *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis* and *N. annulifera*, 0.1 μg for *H. haemachatus* and 0.05 μg for *N. mossambica*, and antivenom volumes of less than 1.25 μL per well did not interfere with the assay readout. Using these venom amounts, the specific PLA_2 activity was calculated from a standard curve using a bee venom positive control as per the manufacturers recommendations.

Two-fold dilutions of antivenom (diluted in PBS in a 12.5 μL volume) were incubated with the pre-defined amount of venom (in 2.5 μL volume) at 37˚C for 30 minutes, cooled to room temperature and added to a clear, polystyrene 384-well plate (Greiner BioOne). 12.5 μL PLA_2 substrate (reconstituted as per manufacturers instructions) was added to each well, the plate was then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 10 minutes and then read at excitation 485 nm and emission 520 nm with gain set to 50% of the highest amount of bee venom control, using a FLUOstar plate reader (BMG Labtech). RFU measurements were converted to percentage of PLA_2 activity, where the venom only (no antivenom) control was 100% activity, and the data from venom plus test antivenom wells were expressed as a percentage of 100% activity. Neutralisation by varying doses of antivenom were compared to the 'no antivenom' control using a one-way ANOVA, and comparisons between SAIMR Polyvalent and the two test antivenoms, at equivalent volumes of antivenom, were identified using a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons.

3. Results

3.1 *In vivo* neutralisation of venom bioactivity

3.1.1 Venom toxicity profiling. The amount of venom that kills 50% of mice when injected intravenously was determined for each venom, as shown in Table 2. The five venoms tested demonstrated a wide range in lethal dose. Venoms from *D. polylepis* and *N. mossambica* demonstrated the greatest lethal toxicity whereas *H. haemachatus*, *N. annulifera* and *B. arietans* showed weaker toxicity in the intravenous murine model.

Envenoming by only three (*B. arietans*, *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica*) of the five Eswatini venoms causes tissue damage (painful, progressive swelling sometimes leading to necrosis) in Eswatini snakebite victims. We therefore only tested these three venoms in the MND assays and the amount of venom causing a 5x5 mm tissue-destructive lesion in the

Table 2. Lethal and necrotic toxicity from Eswatini snake venoms in a murine model. The amount of venom that caused lethality in 50% of mice (LD_{50}) following intravenous injection was determined by Probit analyses, and is reported as μg per mouse, with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. The minimum amount of venom that caused a lesion approximately 5x5 mm in diameter (MND) following intradermal injection is reported as μg per mouse, with mean lesion area in parentheses. Due to signs of systemic envenoming at higher doses of *H. haemachatus* venom injection, a smaller lesion area is reported. N.D. = not determined. All experiments used groups of 5 animals.

Venom	Venom LD_{50} μg/mouse (95% CI)	Venom MND μg/mouse (average lesion size in mm^2)
B. arietans (Puff adder)	32.5 (28.3–37.2)	32.0 (22.4 mm^2)
D. polylepis (Black mamba)	9.8 (8.8–11.0)	N.D.
H. haemachatus (Rinkhals)	26.0 (24.3–27.7)	26.0 (15.0 mm^2)
N. annulifera (Snouted cobra)	25.9 (22.4–30.0)	N.D.
N. mossambica (Mozambique spitting cobra)	17.4 (15.8–19.3)	44.0 (25.2 mm^2)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010496.t002

injected mice is illustrated in Table 2. Due to overwhelming neurotoxicity induced by *H. hae-machatus* venom at higher doses, the maximum lesion size obtained was lower than a typical MND (5mm x 5 mm). Prior preclinical research reported that 60 μg of Eswatini *H. haemacha-tus* venom did not result in a dermonecrotic lesion in venom-injected mice—we are unable to account for this difference to our results [22].

3.1.2 Antivenom efficacy profiling—prevention of lethal venom effects. To compare the efficacy of the antivenoms at neutralising the lethal effects of each venom, we used the WHO-recommended antivenom effective dose (ED_{50}) assays, in which the amount (volume) of antivenom required to prevent venom-induced lethality in 50% of animals is determined, typically using venom concentrations of 3–5 x LD_{50}.

As shown in Table 3 and Fig 1, *B. arietans* venom was most effectively neutralised by SAIMR Polyvalent (6.4 μL), whilst Panafrican (ICP) required a three-fold higher dose (19.4 μL), and a drastically larger volume (47.5 μL) of PANAF (PS&V) was required to prevent lethality in 50% of the group. Similarly, the venom of *H. haemachatus* was most effectively neu-tralised by SAIMR Polyvalent (33.5 μL) and Panafrican (ICP) required an almost four-fold higher dose (122.5 μL), whereas we had to use a lower challenge venom dose (3 x LD_{50}) to determine the ED_{50} for PANAF (PS&V), due to reaching the maximum volume limit of anti-venom in the assay which this maximal volume did not confer 50% survival. Even at a reduced amount of venom, PANAF (PS&V) antivenom was markedly less efficacious than SAIMR Polyvalent (Fig 1).

Both test antivenoms were able to neutralise the lethal effects of *D. polylepis* venom, although at higher volumes than SAIMR Polyvalent, whilst for *N. annulifera* we were required to lower the venom concentration to 3 x LD_{50} as both test antivenoms failed to confer 50% sur-vival when tested at the maximum volume of antivenom with 5 x LD_{50}. Even at these lower venom amounts, the volume of both test antivenoms to confer 50% survival was higher than the ED_{50} volume of SAIMR Polyvalent against 5 x venom LD_{50} doses. In contrast, both test antivenoms performed equally as well or slightly better than SAIMR Polyvalent when tested against *N. mossambica* venom, with PANAF (PS&V) requiring the least antivenom.

Table 3. The preclinical venom-neutralising efficacy (antivenom ED_{50}) of the PANAF (PS&V) and Panafrican (ICP) antivenoms compared to SAIMR Polyvalent in the pre-incubation model of envenoming. ED_{50} is defined as the volume of antivenom which protects 50% of mice from the lethal effects of venom. Each experiment used five mice per dose group. The assays utilised a venom challenge dose of 5 x venom LD_{50}s (Table 2), except when it was necessary to reduce the challenge dose 3 x venom LD_{50}s (indicated by red text). In these instances, the maximum volume limit of antivenom that can be injected intravenously was reached without reducing the venom lethality of the 5 x LD_{50} venom dose, therefore it was necessary to reduce the venom dose to determine an ED_{50} for the antivenom. Results are reported as ED_{50} determined by Probit analyses and expressed in (i) volume of antivenom and, in italics, (ii) as μL of antivenom per mg of venom. 95% confidence intervals are reported in parentheses.

Snake Venom	Venom-neutralising efficacy ED_{50} reported as (i) μL per mouse (95% CI) (ii) *μL per mg venom*		
	SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP)	**Panafrican (ICP)**	**PANAF (PS&V)**
B. arietans (Puff adder)	6.4 μL (6.1–6.6)	19.4 (18.4–20.6)	47.5 (46.6–48.4)
	36.1 (34.6–37.6)	*109.7 (103.6–116.1)*	*268.0 (262.9–273.2)*
D. polylepis (Black mamba)	22.1 (21.2–23.0)	79.8 (76.3–83.6)	56.1 (53.8–58.6)
	424.6 (408.1–441.9)	*1535.4 (1466.9–1607.1)*	*1079.2 (1033.7–1126.7)*
H. haemachatus (Rinkhals)	33.5 (32.5–34.4)	125.5 (115.6–136.4)	52.7 (42.3–65.5)
	258.3 (251.2–265.7)	*969.5 (892.4–1053.1)*	*677.7 (544.9–843.0)*
N. annulifera (Snouted cobra)	77.8 (73.2–82.6)	122.3 (117.0–127.8)	108.4 (97.5–120.4)
	600.8 (565.5–638.2)	*1573.6 (1505.2–1645.2)*	*1394.6 (1255.2–1549.3)*
N. mossambica (Mozambique spitting cobra)	80.3 (72.3–89.1)	82.6 (70.9–96.1)	68.0 (64.2–71.9)
	922.5(831.3–1023.7)	*949.1 (815.3–1104.8)*	*781.0 (737.8–826.8)*

**Fig 1. ED$_{50}$ of the three antivenoms against each of the five Eswatini venoms, expressed as μL per mg of venom.** SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP) shown in teal, Panafrican (ICP) shown in magenta, PANAF Premium (PS&V) shown in blue. ED$_{50}$ was determined using Probit analysis, data represents the calculated ED$_{50}$ and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The units used (μL per mg of venom) attempts to normalise reporting to the amount of venom used to compensate for differences in number of venom LD$_{50}$s used, however for clarity, a 3 x LD$_{50}$ venom dose was used for the following groups; *H. haemachatus* with PANAF (PS&V) antivenom, *N. annulifera* with Panafrican (ICP) antivenom, and *N. annulifera* with PANAF (PS&V) antivenom. All other experiments used 5 x LD$_{50}$ venom dose.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010496.g001

As summarised in Fig 1, SAIMR Polyvalent was overall the most potent antivenom against the venoms of Eswatini snakes, with the exception of *N. mossambica* venom, which was more effectively neutralised by PANAF (PS&V) and Panafrican (ICP) antivenom was equally as efficacious as SAIMR Polyvalent.

3.1.3 Antivenom efficacy profiling—prevention of tissue-destructive venom effects. We next determined the neutralising efficacy the volume of the two test antivenoms one MND dose of *B. arietans*, *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* venoms (Table 4). We first determined the volume of SAIMR Polyvalent to reduce the lesion size to less than 5 mm diameter. At the same dose of antivenom, against Panafrican (ICP) was more effective than SAIMR Polyvalent at reducing lesion size in *B. arietans* and *N. mossambica* venoms, whereas PANAF (PS&V) outperformed SAIMR Polyvalent against *N. mossambica* venom but was less effective against *B. arietans* venom. All three antivenoms completely prevented lesion development induced by *H. haemachatus* venom.

Table 4. The resulting in mean lesion size after intradermal injection with one MND dose of venom preincubated with each of the antivenoms. The dose of SAIMR Polyvalent in μL was first determined, and the test antivenoms at this fixed volume were then tested to compare lesion size.

	Efficacy (μL) of each antivenom to neutralise lesion after injection with 1 x MND dose		
Venom	SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP)	Panafrican (ICP)	PANAF (PS&V)
B. arietans	10 μL = 1.1 mm^2 lesion	10 μL = 0 mm^2 lesion	10 μL = 3.9 mm^2 lesion
H. haemachatus	15 μL = 0 mm^2 lesion	15 μL = 0 mm^2 lesion	15 μL = 0 mm^2 lesion
N. mossambica	45 μL = 3.6 mm^2 lesion	45 μL = 0.8 mm^2 lesion	45 μL = 0.3 mm^2 lesion

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010496.t004

3.2 *In vitro* analyses of the interactions of antivenoms with venoms and specific venom proteins

We undertook a series of *in vitro* assays to better understand the reasons explaining the distinct efficacies of the different antivenoms to neutralise lethal and tissue destructive effects of the various venoms. The immunological analyses examined the immunoglobulin titre and venom protein-specificity of each antivenom to each venom.

3.2.1 Immunological analysis of antivenoms.

3.2.1.1 ELISA analysis to determine the immunoglobulin titre of each antivenom to each venom. Serial dilutions of each antivenom or normal horse IgG control were incubated with each of the five venoms in ELISA assays to compare the antivenom Ig titre to the Eswatini venoms, as shown in Fig 2. To represent the clinical efficacy of antivenoms, where neutralising ability is reported as volume of antivenom, we did not standardise antivenom concentrations and instead compared equivalent volumes of antivenom. The OD readings (venom-binding) of the antivenoms at the 1 in 62,500 dilution (indicated by vertical line in Fig 2 panels), were used to compare the titres of each antivenom.

The highest overall antivenom Ig titres were against *B. arietans* venom, closely followed by *H. haemachatus* venom. At the 1 in 62,500 dilution 'antivenom-comparison' point, PANAF (PS&V) showed a notably lower titre for *B. arietans* venom (OD_{405} = 1.33) than SAIMR Polyvalent (OD_{405} = 2.32) and Panafrican (ICP) (OD_{405} = 2.23) antivenoms. Narrower differences in Ig titre were observed for *H. haemachatus* venom, although again PANAF (PS&V) showed a lower titre (OD_{405} = 1.16) than the SAIMR Polyvalent (OD_{405} = 1.75) and Panafrican (ICP) (OD_{405} = 1.55) antivenoms. Immunoglobulin titres were markedly lower for the *D. polylepis*, *N. annulifera* and *N. mossambica* venoms, with a highest OD_{405} reading of 1.03 at the 1 in 62,500 dilution (Panafrican [ICP] with *N. mossambica* venom) (Fig 2). The three antivenoms exhibited near-equivalent Ig titres for the *D. polylepis* and *N. annulifera* venoms—differences in OD_{405} <0.3 for all antivenoms at the 1 in 62,500 dilution. The Panafrican (ICP) antivenom exhibited the highest Ig titre (OD_{405} = 1.03) for *N. mossambica* venom—almost double the titres of the PANAF (PS&V) (OD_{405} = 0.57) and SAIMR Polyvalent (OD_{405} = 0.53) antivenoms. It must be noted that despite PANAF (PS&V) displaying the lowest Ig titres against four out of five venoms, this antivenom product contains substantially lower protein content (51.9 mg/mL, Table 1), which must be taken into consideration. Similarly, the antivenom with the highest protein concentration (113.7 mg/mL) SAIMR Polyvalent showed the highest Ig titres against four of the five venoms.

3.2.1.2 Immunoblot analysis to determine the venom protein-specificity of each antivenom to each venom. We used immunoblot analysis to assess whether Ig titre differences between the three antivenoms were also detectable in their ability to bind distinct proteins within each venom (Fig 3). As in the ELISA analyses and for the same reason, we again used the same dilution of the antivenoms in each of the immunoblots (i.e. they were standardised by volume not antibody content).

All three antivenoms showed recognition of the full molecular weight range of venom proteins (depicted in Fig 3, panel A—Coomassie blue stained protein content of each venom). The PANAF (PS&V) antivenom showed an overall lower protein-binding intensity, however, and as per the ELISA results, this could be attributed to the lower protein concentration of this antivenom. The most notable differences in toxin recognition by the three antivenoms was observed for *B. arietans* venom, with SAIMR Polyvalent showing stronger recognition of the higher molecular weight (MW) proteins (>25 kDa) whereas Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) recognised fewer of the higher MW proteins. For the other four venoms, the toxin recognition profiles were similar across the three antivenoms, with no obvious differences in binding to specific toxins.

Fig 2. The titre of three antivenoms against five Eswatini venoms determined by end-point titration ELISA. SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP) shown in teal, Panafrican (ICP) shown in magenta, PANAF (PS&V) shown in blue, normal horse IgG shown in purple. Panel A: *B. arietans*. Panel B: *D. polylepis*. Panel C: *H. haemachatus*. Panel D: *N. annulifera*. Panel E: *N. mossambica*. Data points represent the mean of two replicates and error bars show the standard deviation. The vertical line at the 1 in 62,500 dilution represents the point at which Ig titres of each antivenom were compared.

The immunoblot analyses, using a chromogenic assay failed to identify venom protein-binding distinctions that might account for the differential venom-neutralising efficacies of venom-binding Ig titres of the three antivenoms. We therefore repeated this assay using fluorescent immunoblots, which outputs quantifiable protein-binding intensities (S3 Fig). We were limited to performing these as semi-quantitative, rather than quantitative, measurements of protein binding, due to the varying formats of the antivenom Igs (F[ab']$_2$ and intact IgG),

Fig 3. Protein profiles of the venom protein components of five Eswatini snake venoms. A: Venom proteins separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and stained with Coomassie blue to show all proteinaceous components. B: Immunoblot using normal horse IgG used as primary antibody negative control. C: Immunoblot using SAIMR Polyvalent as primary antibody. D: Immunoblot using Panafrican (ICP) antivenom as primary antibody. E: Immunoblot using PANAF (PS&V) antivenom as primary antibody.

which may affect recognition by the secondary antibody thus limiting the comparisons. Aside from a more-pronounced binding of the Panafrican (ICP) to the higher molecular weight components of *H. haemachatus* venom, the results using fluorescent immunoblotting did not identify any additional venom protein-binding specificities.

Altogether the serological analysis of antivenom binding to venom toxins showed similar protein binding characteristics and, with the exception of *B. arietans* venom, these data did not explain the distinct *in vivo* efficacies of the three antivenoms. Whilst the data obtained with these immunological assays are informative, they do not differentiate between recognition of toxin and non-toxin venom components and between binding and neutralising antibodies. We therefore sought to further characterise the neutralising ability of the antivenoms using *in vitro* phenotypic and toxin-specific enzymatic assays.

3.2.2 *In vitro* neutralisation of venom bioactivity

3.2.2.1 Plasma clotting activity. Severe anticoagulant disturbances to the normal clotting of bovine plasma were induced by *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* venoms (Fig 4A), while venoms of *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis* and *N. annulifera* showed no significant alterations to plasma clotting (p > 0.05 compared to PBS control). SAIMR Polyvalent failed to neutralise the effects of *N. mossambica* venom on plasma clotting at the antivenom volumes tested, whilst Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) both restored plasma clotting to 'normal' levels (Fig 4C) (indicated by no significant differences in the AUC for the test wells compared to the normal clotting PBS control). The differences in restoration of plasma clotting between SAIMR Polyvalent and the test antivenoms were compared for the highest volume of antivenom (2.5 µL). The restoration of plasma clotting levels in the presence of Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) were both significantly different to SAIMR Polyvalent (p = 0.02 and 0.004, respectively). All three antivenoms effectively neutralised the anticoagulant effects of *H. haemachatus* venom to restore plasma clotting to 'normal' levels at the three doses tested (Fig 4B), with no significant differences between the test wells and PBS control, and no significant differences were measured between the two test antivenoms compared to SAIMR Polyvalent.

Although the three antivenoms showed comparable efficacy at neutralising *H. haemachatus* venom-induced anticoagulant effects *in vitro*, SAIMR Polyvalent significantly outperformed

Fig 4. Plasma clotting activity of Eswatini venoms and their neutralisation by three antivenoms. Data show the mean area under the curve of nine replicates and error bars represent standard deviation. **** indicates $p < 0.0001$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$ and * indicates $p < 0.05$ by one-way ANOVA. A: Plasma clotting activity of *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis*, *H. haemachatus*, *N. annulifera* and *N. mossambica* in comparison to PBS normal clotting control (white bar). B: Effects of antivenoms on neutralising the anticoagulant effects of *H. haemachatus* venom. C: Effects of antivenoms on neutralising the anticoagulant effects of *N. mossambica* venom. Normal clotting is shown by 'PBS control' bars in white (wells contain no venom), and venom-induced clotting deficiency shown by 'no antivenom control' bars in black.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010496.g004

the other two antivenoms in the *in vivo* ED$_{50}$ tests of venom lethality. The data presented here suggested that these *in vivo* differences may not be a direct consequence of clotting abnormalities.

3.2.2.2 Snake venom metalloproteinase (SVMP) activity. The SVMP toxins possess potently disruptive activities against diverse mammalian cardiovascular targets [23] and we therefore

Fig 5. SVMP activity of Eswatini venoms and their neutralisation by three antivenoms. *** indicates p < 0.001, **** indicates p < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA. A: SVMP activity of *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis*, *H. haemachatus*, *N. annulifera* and *N. mossambica* in comparison to PBS control. Data show the mean of four replicates and error bars represent standard deviation. Neutralisation of (B) *B. arietans* and (C) *N. annulifera* SVMP activity by the three antivenoms SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP), Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) at different doses, in comparison to a no antivenom control (venom only) showing 100% activity. Data show the mean of four replicates and error bars represent standard deviation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010496.g005

compared (i) the extent to which the Eswatini venoms expressed this bioactivity *in vitro* and (ii) the SVMP-neutralising ability of the antivenoms. Strong SVMP activity was detected for *B. arietans* venom as shown in Fig 5A, whilst *N. annulifera* venom demonstrated weak but detectable SVMP activity (statistically greater than PBS control, p = 0.0004) and the remaining three venoms exhibited no significant activity (p > 0.2 for all).

All three antivenoms significantly reduced the SVMP activity of *B. arietans* in a dose-dependent manner (p < 0.0001 for all conditions compared to no antivenom 100% activity control), with SAIMR Polyvalent demonstrating the greatest reduction in toxin activity at the highest dose (2.5 μL), as shown in Fig 5B. The neutralisation of SVMP activity by SAIMR Polyvalent at 2.5 μL was significantly greater than that of Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V)

(p = 0.0004 and p < 0.0001, respectively). The abundance of SVMP activity detected in *B. arietans* is at least partially responsible for the venom pathology observed *in vivo*, and as demonstrated here, the ranking of antivenom neutralising ability correlates well between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* results, with SAIMR Polyvalent performing best *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and PANAF (PS&V) performing the weakest.

All three antivenoms showed poor neutralising ability against *N. annulifera* SVMP activity (Fig 5C) and were unable to neutralise more than half of the activity. This was unexpected because SVMP activity of *N. annulifera* venom was considerably weaker than *B. arietans* venom, which was effectively neutralised by the antivenoms. As shown in Fig 5C, SAIMR Polyvalent outperformed the comparator antivenoms at all doses tested, yet only reached approximately 50% reduction in SVMP activity at the top dose tested. At the highest dose tested (2.5 µL) SAIMR Polyvalent showed significantly greater reduction in *N. annulifera* SVMP activity than Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) (p < 0.0001 against both).

3.2.2.3 Venom Phospholipase A2 activity. The PLA$_2$s are a diverse and complex group of venom proteins that target a variety of mammalian cardiovascular and neurological targets [24], and we therefore compared (i) the extent to which the Eswatini venoms expressed this bioactivity *in vitro* and (ii) the PLA$_2$-neutralising ability of the antivenoms. Strong enzymatic PLA$_2$ activity was detected in the venoms of *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* as shown in Fig 6A, whilst *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis* and *N. annulifera* demonstrated negligible PLA$_2$ activity at the venom doses tested.

All three antivenoms showed potent inhibition of both *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* PLA$_2$ activity, with significant neutralisation of PLA$_2$ at antivenom doses as low as 0.06 µL (p < 0.0001 for each antivenom, compared to no antivenom). SAIMR Polyvalent was overall the most effective antivenom at neutralising *H. haemachatus* PLA$_2$ activity and was able to fully neutralise activity at the 0.06 µL volume, whereas the two other antivenoms showed lower neutralising ability at 0.13 µL and 0.06 µL doses (Fig 6B). At 0.25 µL of antivenom, PANAF (PS&V) showed no significant difference in neutralising efficacy compared to the neutralisation observed for SAIMR Polyvalent (p > 0.05), whilst Panafrican (ICP) showed significantly less neutralising ability compared to SAIMR Polyvalent (p = 0.037). At the lowest volume of antivenom tested (0.06 µL) SAIMR Polyvalent was marginally less effective than the other antivenoms in neutralising *N. mossambica* PLA$_2$ activity (Fig 6C), however at the dose of 0.25 µL, all three antivenoms fully neutralised the toxin activity with no significant differences observed between the antivenoms.

The ability of the antivenoms to neutralise PLA$_2$ activity correlates well with the *in vivo* ED$_{50}$ data. As we previously demonstrated in Fig 4 that all the antivenoms performed equally well at restoring plasma clotting of *H. haemachatus*, the differences in the *in vivo* antivenom ED$_{50}$s may therefore be mediated by PLA$_2$ activity, and these toxins are known to potentiate the pathological effects of other toxins found in spitting elapid venoms [25].

4. Discussion

The dependency of a country or region on a single antivenom product poses a high risk to the security of antivenom supply and consequent risk to victims of snake envenoming [26]. There are numerous causes of such fragile antivenom markets, including manufacturing issues (inefficient and expensive production methods resulting in low production volumes [27]); logistical, technical and financial issues in procurement by, and distribution to, the communities that need the products; and low end-user product confidence [27]. Access to safe and effective antivenom treatment is a pillar of the WHO strategy to halve snakebite morbidity and mortality, and the goal of delivering 3 million regionally specific treatments each year by 2030 [12].

Fig 6. PLA$_2$ activity of five venoms from Eswatini and their neutralisation by different antivenoms. ** indicates p < 0.01 by one-way ANOVA. A: PLA$_2$ activity of *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis*, *H. haemachatus*, *N. annulifera* and *N. mossambica*. Data show the mean of three replicates and error bars represent standard deviation. Neutralisation of (B) *H. haemachatus* and (C) *N. annulifera* PLA$_2$ activity by the three antivenoms SAIMR Polyvalent (SAVP), Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) at different doses, expressed as a percentage of a no antivenom control showing 100% activity. Data show the mean of three replicates and error bars represent standard deviation.

To achieve this, WHO will attempt to revitalise the antivenom market by working closely with antivenom manufacturers and end-users to improve the aforementioned deficiencies and is conducting global risk-benefit assessments of antivenoms. WHO have identified that each region should have access to at least three quality-assured antivenoms with proven effectiveness against the venoms of medically-important snakes from the region [12]. To achieve this, considerable research is required to conduct preclinical and clinical testing of candidate antivenoms for each region.

The outcomes of this preclinical research collaboration between the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and E-SRIC, and previously with the Kenya-Snakebite Research & Intervention Centre [3] are examples of the research community responding to antivenom needs in different African countries. Here we present preclinical antivenom-efficacy data to identify effective antivenoms that can reduce Eswatini's single-brand dependency on SAIMR Polyvalent.

Our preclinical results demonstrate that two alternative antivenom products Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) show preclinical efficacy against the venoms of medically important snakes of Eswatini. However, for four out of five venoms (the exception being *N. mossambica*) in the ED$_{50}$ assays, the two test antivenoms required higher doses (typically 2-3x fold more) than SAIMR Polyvalent and possessed lower snake species poly-specific efficacy. Our

findings are in agreement with the previous preclinical study of Panafrican (ICP) against Eswatini venoms [28]. It should be noted that this was an experimental batch distinct from the pre-commercial batch of Panafrican (ICP) used here. That study [28] found that SAIMR Polyvalent had a higher ability to neutralise *B. arietans*, *D. polylepis* and *H. haemachatus* venom lethality. Importantly, that initial batch of Panafrican (ICP) antivenom failed to neutralise lethality from 3 x LD_{50} of *N. annulifera* venom [28] whilst in our study the pre-commercial Panafrican (ICP) was able to neutralise 3 x LD_{50} of the venom. There were minor differences in the efficacy of SAIMR Polyvalent against the Eswatini venoms between our study and the previous one [28]. PANAF (PS&V) has been previously tested for preclinical efficacy against *N. annulifera* venom sourced from Mozambique, and our ED_{50} in this study when expressed as µL/mg is similar to that obtained in the previous study, despite the different geographical origins [29]. The two test antivenoms did perform as effectively or marginally better than SAIMR Polyvalent in the neutralisation of tissue damage and lethality from *N. mossambica* venom. In the previous preclinical study of Panafrican (ICP), SAIMR Polyvalent was moderately more effective at neutralising *N. mossambica* lethality [28]. As this snake species is responsible for the majority of snakebites in Eswatini, we believe these results justify future clinical research on the effectiveness of Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) to treat snake envenoming in Eswatini.

This study confirms prior preclinical studies [3,28] on the potent efficacy of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom to neutralise the lethal effects (to mice) of several snake venoms, especially venoms from puff adder (*B. arietans*), black mamba (*D. polylepis*), and several spitting and non-spitting cobra (*Naja*) species, irrespective of the geographical origin of these venoms. Here, to determine the scientific reasons underpinning the extreme efficacy of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom, we also conducted a variety of *in vitro* assays on the bioactivity of the venoms and ability of the antivenoms to neutralise that activity—because understanding this could lead to revised antivenom-production protocols enabling the improved efficacy for all antivenoms.

Our results from the comparative ELISA and immunoblotting assays indicated that the respective antivenom titres and venom protein-specificities of Igs in the Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms were insufficiently different to account for the venom-neutralising potency of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom, except for *B. arietans* venom. Thus, the differences between the *in vivo* efficacies of the Panafrican (ICP) and SAIMR Polyvalent against *B. arietans* venom might be explained by the observation that, in the immunoblots (Fig 3), SAIMR Polyvalent showed more intense binding to a wider molecular weight range of venom proteins than the Panafrican (ICP) antivenom.

In this study, we deployed phenotypic and enzymatic assays of venom bioactivity because they provide toxin-focused information unattainable from the immunological assays.

The Eswatini *N. mossambica* venom completely prevented plasma clotting *in vitro* and showed strong PLA_2 activity, as expected based upon previous observations of potent anticoagulant activity *in vitro* of *N. mossambica* venom (sourced from Latoxan, France) [30,31] that was attributed to PLA_2 inhibition of Factor Xa [30]. Our results indicated that Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms were more effective than SAIMR Polyvalent at neutralising the venom PLA_2-mediated anticoagulant activity. Indeed, SAIMR Polyvalent failed to return plasma clotting to normal levels whereas the two test antivenoms both effectively neutralised this activity. These differences were reflected in the reduction of size of the tissue lesion—in which Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) caused greater reductions in lesion size than SAIMR Polyvalent. That said, the three antivenoms exhibited similar efficacy in neutralising the lethal effects of *N. mossambica* venom on mice (*in vivo* ED_{50} results), though this activity may perhaps not be mediated by PLA_2s.

The major toxin families in *H. haemachatus* venom are cytotoxic three-finger toxins (3FTx) and PLA_2 [22,25] which produce neurotoxic and cytotoxic clinical pathologies. The Eswatini *H. haemachatus* venom exhibited an anticoagulant phenotype and strong PLA_2 activity *in vitro*, which is in agreement with previous studies of this venom from South African specimens, which attributed the 3FTx protein family as the primary driver of anticoagulant phenotype of *H. haemachatus* venom [22]. Interestingly, despite SVMPs comprising 7% of the *H. haemachatus* venom proteome, the data presented here and in previous studies show no detectable SVMP bioactivity in this venom [22]. All three antivenoms performed equally well at neutralising *H. haemachatus* plasma clotting disturbances *in vitro* and preventing the development of necrotic lesions *in vivo*. Notably, SAIMR Polyvalent strongly outperformed the two test antivenoms in neutralisation of lethality assays. The discordance between *in vitro* assays of plasma clotting and *in vivo* neutralisation of lethality may be due to several factors, including the multifactorial nature of envenoming (role of other non-coagulopathic toxins in causing lethality), synergy with other toxins and venom proteins to cause pathology that is not detected in this assay, and the conditions used in this assay that do not account for phospholipids that are required to more accurately mimic physiological conditions [32].

The Eswatini *B. arietans* venom showed strong SVMP activity, negligible PLA_2 activity and negligible effects on plasma clotting *in vitro*. This profile is similar to previous reports on the venom of *B. arietans* from Nigeria, which demonstrated strong SVMP and serine protease activity with no procoagulant effects on plasma clotting [19]. Anticoagulant activities have been detected in nanofractionated *B. arietans* venom from Nigeria [33] and whole venom from South Africa [34]. Here, SVMP bioactivity was inhibited by similar doses of the SAIMR Polyvalent and Panafrican (ICP) antivenoms, and higher doses (volumes) of the PANAF (PS&V) antivenom were required to achieve similar SVMP-inhibition levels. Correspondingly, more PANAF (PS&V) antivenom was required to neutralise venom lethality *in vivo*. However, differential antivenom neutralisation of SVMP bioactivity is not the sole explanation of *in vivo* efficacy because the antivenom ED_{50} of the Panafrican (ICP) antivenom was three-fold higher than that of SAIMR Polyvalent (Table 3)—the more intense and comprehensive *B. arietans* venom-binding of the SAIMR Polyvalent than Panafrican (ICP) antivenom may be linked to the distinct *in vivo* venom-neutralising efficacies of these antivenoms (see above and Fig 3).

The Eswatini *N. annulifera* venom exhibited negligible PLA_2 activity, similar to previous reports on toxin activity and venom proteome abundance [29,35]. In contrast to the previous report on *N. annulifera* venom from South Africa [35], we detected no anticoagulant effects of the venom at the venom doses tested here. Consistent with previous reports from South African samples [35] and with recent venom proteome data identifying that SVMPs comprise 11.2% of *N. annulifera* venom from Mozambique [29], we detected SVMP activity in the Eswatini *N. annulifera* venom. The *in vitro* SVMP activity of this venom was, unexpectedly, not effectively neutralised by any of the antivenoms at the doses tested—with all three antivenoms failing to reduce SMVP activity by half. The ELISA and immunoblots also show equivalent Ig binding to the *N. annulifera* venom by all three antivenoms—but these bioactivity and immunological results do not explain the much greater *in vivo* neutralisation of *N. annulifera* venom-induced lethality by the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom.

Highlighting the lack of *in vitro* neutralisation assays for neurotoxic bioactivity, none of the *in vitro* assays used in this study detected toxin activity of *D. polylepis* venom, which is mostly comprised of neurotoxic Kunitz-type serine protease inhibitors and 3FTx [21,36]. Immunological data in this study did not show any differences in the recognition of *D. polylepis* venom, yet the two test antivenoms were markedly less effective at neutralising the venom lethality *in vivo*. Recently developed *in vitro* assays that measure neurotoxin binding to isolated nicotinic acetylcholine receptors [37] are reported to show good correlation with *in vivo* neutralisation

[38] and should be used in future studies to provide *in vitro* evidence for neutralisation of neurotoxins prior to *in vivo* testing. Furthermore, the development of 'antivenomics' to quantify the reactivity of antivenoms towards venoms provides unparalleled serological data to inform preclinical studies [39]. Including the experiments described above might have helped identify antigen specificities within the Ig repertoire of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom that are lacking in the other antivenoms.

The limitations of this study are the absence of standardising antivenom concentrations, using gold-standard comparisons to assess neutralisation of necrosis, and non-blinding of the preclinical experimenters. We opted to perform ED_{50} assays using antivenoms as formulated by the manufacturers (as opposed to standardising them to one protein concentration) enabling us to compare the antivenoms in the form they would be used clinically. This enables practitioners to make judgements as to whether more vials of product would be needed. We did not repeat these experiments using standardised protein concentrations (to compare the gram for gram efficacy of antivenoms) because, when combined with the protein concentration information, this information can be inferred from the results presented here as volume. To assess the comparative anti-necrosis efficacy of the two test antivenoms to SAIMR Polyvalent (the gold-standard comparator) we first determined the eMND (the dose/volume required to neutralise necrosis) of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom for the *B. arietans*, *H. haemachatus* and *N. mossambica* venoms. We then compared the reduction in lesion size using that volume of the comparator antivenoms (mixed with the same amounts of venoms). This approach, rather than using full dose-finding eMND assays, provided the answer to our research question but with a considerably lower number of mice than if we had used conventional eMND assays, and was therefore the most ethical approach. Finally, investigators were not blinded to the identity of the venoms and antivenoms during the preclinical experiments because of our need to deploy venom-specific humane endpoints as a 3Rs implementation to reduce the duration of venom-induced pain, harm and distress to the mice.

In conclusion, the results from this study have (i) confirmed the high potency of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom to preclinically neutralise the lethal and necrotic effects induced by Eswatini's most medically-important snake venoms, (ii) identified that the Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms possess similar efficacy as SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom against the lethal and tissue-destructive effects of *N. mossambica* venom—the most common cause of snakebite in Eswatini. However, the Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms possess inferior efficacy to SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom in neutralising the lethal effects of the other venoms tested. Our *in vitro* analyses, whilst informative, were unable to identify the venom protein specificities of the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom that were absent in the Panafrican (ICP) and PANAF (PS&V) antivenoms to account for their distinct *in vivo* venom-neutralising efficacies.

This data and our inferences therefrom have been reported to and discussed with the Eswatini Ministry of Health. We believe they provide a clear rationale for an assessment of the safety and efficacy of these additional antivenom products in Eswatini patients of snakebite envenoming. This study has therefore contributed to a potential solution to the current dependency on a single brand of antivenom that is increasingly difficult to acquire in Eswatini, and other southern African countries solely dependent upon the SAIMR Polyvalent antivenom to treat their snakebite victims.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. ELISA avidity.
(TIFF)

S2 Fig. PLA$_2$ pre-assay optimisation.
(TIFF)

S3 Fig. Fluorescent Western blots.
(TIFF)

S1 File. Preclinical testing protocol.
(PDF)

S2 File. LD$_{50}$ and ED$_{50}$ preclinical data.
(XLSX)

S3 File. MND measurements and eMND measurements.
(XLSX)

S4 File. ELISA endpoint raw data and ELISA avidity raw data.
(XLSX)

S5 File. Fluorescent Western blot quantification and normalisation values.
(XLS)

S6 File. Plasma clotting assay raw data.
(XLSX)

S7 File. SVMP assay raw data.
(XLSX)

S8 File. PLA$_2$ assay optimisation data.
(XLSX)

S9 File. PLA$_2$ assay data.
(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: David G. Lalloo, Nicholas R. Casewell, Robert A. Harrison.

Data curation: Stefanie K. Menzies, Robert A. Harrison.

Formal analysis: Stefanie K. Menzies, Rebecca J. Edge, Robert A. Harrison.

Investigation: Stefanie K. Menzies, Rebecca J. Edge, Jaffer Alsolaiss, Edouard Crittenden, Steven R. Hall, Adam Westhorpe, Nicholas R. Casewell, Robert A. Harrison.

Resources: Thea Litschka-Koen, Brent Thomas, James Murray, Nondusimo Shongwe, Sara Padidar, Jonathan Pons.

Writing – original draft: Stefanie K. Menzies, Robert A. Harrison.

Writing – review & editing: Stefanie K. Menzies, Thea Litschka-Koen, Rebecca J. Edge, Jaffer Alsolaiss, Edouard Crittenden, Steven R. Hall, Adam Westhorpe, Brent Thomas, James Murray, Sara Padidar, David G. Lalloo, Nicholas R. Casewell, Jonathan Pons, Robert A. Harrison.

References

1. Gutiérrez JM, Calvete JJ, Habib AG, Harrison RA, Williams DJ, Warrell DA. Snakebite envenoming. Nat Rev Dis Primer. 2017; 3: 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.63

2. Potet J, Smith J, McIver L. Reviewing evidence of the clinical effectiveness of commercially available antivenoms in sub-Saharan Africa identifies the need for a multi-centre, multi-antivenom clinical trial. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13: e0007551. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007551 PMID: 31233536

3. Harrison RA, Oluoch GO, Ainsworth S, Alsolaiss J, Bolton F, Arias A-S, et al. Preclinical antivenom-efficacy testing reveals potentially disturbing deficiencies of snakebite treatment capability in East Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11: e0005969. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005969 PMID: 29045429

4. Ainsworth S, Menzies SK, Casewell NR, Harrison RA. An analysis of preclinical efficacy testing of antivenoms for sub-Saharan Africa: Inadequate independent scrutiny and poor-quality reporting are barriers to improving snakebite treatment and management. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14: e0008579. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008579 PMID: 32817682

5. Theakston RDG, Warrell DA. Crisis in snake antivenom supply for Africa. The Lancet. 2000; 356: 2104. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(05)74319-1 PMID: 11145528

6. Harrison RA, Casewell NR, Ainsworth SA, Lalloo DG. The time is now: a call for action to translate recent momentum on tackling tropical snakebite into sustained benefit for victims. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2019; 113: 835–838. https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/try134 PMID: 30668842

7. Gutiérrez JM, Warrell DA, Williams DJ, Jensen S, Brown N, Calvete JJ, et al. The Need for Full Integration of Snakebite Envenoming within a Global Strategy to Combat the Neglected Tropical Diseases: The Way Forward. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013; 7: e2162. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002162 PMID: 23785526

8. Antivenom Treatment for Snake Bites–Eswatini Antivenom Foundation. [cited 17 Dec 2021]. Available: https://eswatiniantivenom.org/

9. Robert A Harrison, David J Williams, Thea Litschka-Koen, Jonathan Pons, Patrick Joseph Tiglao, John David Commandante, et al. RSTMH Special Report on Snakebite Case reports of tropical snakebite victims illustrate the vital humanitarian role and challenges of community action groups. 2019. Available: https://rstmh.org/sites/rstmh/files/content/attachments/2021-04-01/RSTMH%20%E2%80%93%20Snakebite%20Report%202019%20v2.1.pdf

10. Laing GD, Lee L, Smith DC, Landon J, Theakston RDG. Experimental assessment of a new, low-cost antivenom for treatment of carpet viper (Echis ocellatus) envenoming. Toxicon. 1995; 33: 307–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(94)00175-8 PMID: 7638870

11. Abubakar SB, Abubakar IS, Habib AG, Nasidi A, Durfa N, Yusuf PO, et al. Pre-clinical and preliminary dose-finding and safety studies to identify candidate antivenoms for treatment of envenoming by saw-scaled or carpet vipers (Echis ocellatus) in northern Nigeria. Toxicon. 2010; 55: 719–723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2009.10.024 PMID: 19874841

12. Williams DJ, Faiz MA, Abela-Ridder B, Ainsworth S, Bulfone TC, Nickerson AD, et al. Strategy for a globally coordinated response to a priority neglected tropical disease: Snakebite envenoming. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13: e0007059. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007059 PMID: 30789906

13. The African Snakebite Research Group. In: LSTM [Internet]. [cited 19 Jan 2022]. Available: https://www.lstmed.ac.uk/research/centres-and-units/centre-for-snakebite-research/the-african-snakebite-research-group

14. KSRIC—Kenya Snakebite Research & Intervention Centre. [cited 20 Jan 2022]. Available: https://ksric-asrg.org/

15. NSRIC—Nigeria Snakebite Research & Intervention Centre. [cited 20 Jan 2022]. Available: https://nsric-asrg.org.ng/

16. World Health Organization. Guidelines for the Production, Control and Regulation of Snake Antivenom Immunoglobulins. 2017. Available: https://www.who.int/bloodproducts/AntivenomGLrevWHO_TRS_1004_web_Annex_5.pdf

17. Albulescu L-O, Kazandjian T, Slagboom J, Bruyneel B, Ainsworth S, Alsolaiss J, et al. A Decoy-Receptor Approach Using Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Mimics Reveals Their Potential as Novel

Therapeutics Against Neurotoxic Snakebite. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 10: 848. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00848 PMID: 31417406

18. Albulescu L-O, Hale MS, Ainsworth S, Alsolaiss J, Crittenden E, Calvete JJ, et al. Preclinical validation of a repurposed metal chelator as an early-intervention therapeutic for hemotoxic snakebite. Sci Transl Med. 2020; 12. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay8314 PMID: 32376771

19. Albulescu L-O, Xie C, Ainsworth S, Alsolaiss J, Crittenden E, Dawson CA, et al. A therapeutic combination of two small molecule toxin inhibitors provides broad preclinical efficacy against viper snakebite. Nat Commun. 2020; 11: 6094. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19981-6 PMID: 33323937

20. Durán G, Solano G, Gómez A, Cordero D, Sánchez A, Villalta M, et al. Assessing a 6-h endpoint observation time in the lethality neutralization assay used to evaluate the preclinical efficacy of snake antivenoms. Toxicon X. 2021; 12: 100087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2021.100087 PMID: 34888521

21. Ainsworth S, Petras D, Engmark M, Süssmuth RD, Whiteley G, Albulescu L-O, et al. The medical threat of mamba envenoming in sub-Saharan Africa revealed by genus-wide analysis of venom composition, toxicity and antivenomics profiling of available antivenoms. J Proteomics. 2018; 172: 173–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2017.08.016 PMID: 28843532

22. Sánchez A, Herrera M, Villalta M, Solano D, Segura Á, Lomonte B, et al. Proteomic and toxinological characterization of the venom of the South African Ringhals cobra Hemachatus haemachatus. J Proteomics. 2018; 181: 104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2018.04.007 PMID: 29656017

23. Snake Venom Metalloproteinases | Charlotte A. Dawson, Stuart Ainsworth. [cited 13 Apr 2022]. Available: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.1201/9780429054204-28/snake-venom-metalloproteinases-charlotte-dawson-stuart-ainsworth-laura-oana-albulescu-nicholas-casewell

24. Kini R. Excitement ahead: structure, function and mechanism of snake venom phospholipase A2 enzymes. Toxicon. 2003; 42: 827–840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2003.11.002 PMID: 15019485

25. Kazandjian TD, Petras D, Robinson SD, van Thiel J, Greene HW, Arbuckle K, et al. Convergent evolution of pain-inducing defensive venom components in spitting cobras. Science. 2021; 371: 386–390. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb9303 PMID: 33479150

26. Potet J, Beran D, Ray N, Alcoba G, Habib AG, Iliyasu G, et al. Access to antivenoms in the developing world: A multidisciplinary analysis. Toxicon X. 2021; 12: 100086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2021.100086 PMID: 34786555

27. Habib AG, Musa BM, Iliyasu G, Hamza M, Kuznik A, Chippaux J-P. Challenges and prospects of snake antivenom supply in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14: e0008374. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008374 PMID: 32817674

28. Sánchez A, Segura Á, Vargas M, Herrera M, Villalta M, Estrada R, et al. Expanding the neutralization scope of the EchiTAb-plus-ICP antivenom to include venoms of elapids from Southern Africa. Toxicon. 2017; 125: 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2016.11.259 PMID: 27890775

29. Tan KY, Wong KY, Tan NH, Tan CH. Quantitative proteomics of Naja annulifera (sub-Saharan snouted cobra) venom and neutralization activities of two antivenoms in Africa. Int J Biol Macromol. 2020; 158: 605–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.04.173 PMID: 32339578

30. Chowdhury A, Lewin MR, Zdenek CN, Carter R, Fry BG. The Relative Efficacy of Chemically Diverse Small-Molecule Enzyme-Inhibitors Against Anticoagulant Activities of African Spitting Cobra (Naja Species) Venoms. Front Immunol. 2021; 12. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2021.752442 PMID: 34691069

31. Petras D, Sanz L, Segura Á, Herrera M, Villalta M, Solano D, et al. Snake Venomics of African Spitting Cobras: Toxin Composition and Assessment of Congeneric Cross-Reactivity of the Pan-African Echi-TAb-Plus-ICP Antivenom by Antivenomics and Neutralization Approaches. J Proteome Res. 2011; 10: 1266–1280. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr101040f PMID: 21171584

32. Seneci L, Zdenek CN, Chowdhury A, Rodrigues CFB, Neri-Castro E, Bénard-Valle M, et al. A Clot Twist: Extreme Variation in Coagulotoxicity Mechanisms in Mexican Neotropical Rattlesnake Venoms. Front Immunol. 2021; 12: 612846. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.612846 PMID: 33815366

33. Xie C, Albulescu L-O, Bittenbinder MA, Somsen GW, Vonk FJ, Casewell NR, et al. Neutralizing Effects of Small Molecule Inhibitors and Metal Chelators on Coagulopathic Viperinae Snake Venom Toxins. Biomedicines. 2020; 8: 297. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8090297 PMID: 32825484

34. Strydom MA, Bester J, Mbotwe S, Pretorius E. The effect of physiological levels of South African puff adder (Bitis arietans) snake venom on blood cells: an in vitro model. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 35988. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35988 PMID: 27775063

35. Silva-de-França F, Villas-Boas IM, Serrano SM de T, Cogliati B, Chudzinski SA de A, Lopes PH, et al. Naja annulifera Snake: New insights into the venom components and pathogenesis of envenomation. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13: e0007017. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007017 PMID: 30657756

36. Laustsen AH, Lomonte B, Lohse B, Fernández J, Gutiérrez JM. Unveiling the nature of black mamba (Dendroaspis polylepis) venom through venomics and antivenom immunoprofiling: Identification of key toxin targets for antivenom development. J Proteomics. 2015; 119: 126–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.02.002 PMID: 25688917

37. Ratanabanangkoon K, Simsiriwong P, Pruksaphon K, Tan KY, Eursakun S, Tan CH, et al. A novel in vitro potency assay of antisera against Thai Naja kaouthia based on nicotinic acetylcholine receptor binding. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 8545. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08962-3 PMID: 28819275

38. Pruksaphon K, Tan KY, Tan CH, Simsiriwong P, Gutiérrez JM, Ratanabanangkoon K. An in vitro α-neurotoxin—nAChR binding assay correlates with lethality and in vivo neutralization of a large number of elapid neurotoxic snake venoms from four continents. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14: e0008581. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008581 PMID: 32857757

39. Gutiérrez JM, Solano G, Pla D, Herrera M, Segura Á, Vargas M, et al. Preclinical Evaluation of the Efficacy of Antivenoms for Snakebite Envenoming: State-of-the-Art and Challenges Ahead. Toxins. 2017; 9: 163. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins9050163 PMID: 28505100